

Big Pine Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Big Pine Elementary School
Street	500 South Main Street
City, State, Zip	Big Pine, California 93513
Phone Number	760.938.2222
Principal	Ed Dardenne-Ankring
E-mail Address	eankringa@bp.k12.ca.us
Web Site	http://bigpineschools.org
CDS Code	14632486008692

District Contact Information	
District Name	Big Pine Unified School District
Phone Number	760.938.2005
Superintendent	Pamela Jones
E-mail Address	pjones@bp.k12.ca.us
Web Site	http://bigpineschools.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Big Pine Elementary School offers an excellent program for all of its students. Small class sizes and a sense of community provide a nurturing environment along with a powerful learning opportunity. Our ongoing improvement process continues each year. Student Led Conferences are a key opportunity for parent involvement and for students to track their own academic progress.

We are in our sixth (6th) year with EL Education and have implemented many core practices of the model. All teachers have participated in EL Education training with the goal of transforming the learning experience to one of appropriate rigor, hands-on learning experiences and the collaborative skills needed for the 21st Century workplace.

Middle school students also experience a sense of community in small group settings, where teachers can develop positive relationships with all students. Middle school students may participate in volleyball, basketball, and flag football. Students also have the opportunity to learn through fieldwork experiences, where students are able to take advantage of the geology, history, and adventure opportunities of the area. During the ski season, students may participate in the Learn to Ski program.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	22
Grade 1	12
Grade 2	14
Grade 3	15
Grade 4	16
Grade 5	17
Grade 6	15
Grade 7	11
Grade 8	10
Total Enrollment	132

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	43.2
Asian	0.8
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	20.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8
White	22.7
Two or More Races	12.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	75.8
English Learners	0.8
Students with Disabilities	8.3
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	11	12	11	13
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: September, 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Treasures: Big Books, Reading Anthology, 2010 Treasures: Reading Anthology, 2010 Holt Language Arts: Anthology, 2010	Yes	0
Mathematics	Eureka Math, 2015	Yes	0
Science	California Full Option Science System/Delta Education, 2007 Science Resources, 2007 California Science Resources, 2007 California Science, 2007 California Earth Science, 2006 Focus on Life Science, CA Edition 2006 Focus on Physical Science, CA Edition 2006	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for CA, 2006 Social Studies, 2006 History-Social Science for CA, Then and Now, 2006 History-Social Science for CA, Our Communities, 2006 California History, Social Science Studies, 2006 History-Social Science for CA 2006 World History-Ancient Civilizations, 2006 World History, Medieval & Modern Times, 2006 Creating America, Beginnings through WWI, 2006	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

In 2012 the Big Pine School District installed a 200 KW solar plant. During the summer of 2013, curtains were installed in all classrooms to increase the safety of students in potential lockdown situations. The K-1 Building was remodeled. Roofs were replaced on the K-1 Building, Learning Center, Care Room and Preschool. Stucco repair and painting of exteriors, as well as bathroom remodels, were accomplished. In 2014-15 the gymnasium HVAC replacement was completed. The Fire Alarm System was upgraded, and an Assistive Listening System installed. The asphalt paving around the school site and the parking area was repaired, patched and resurfaced in July, 2014. The Alice Piper bronze statue was installed and dedicated in June of 2014, memorializing the historic effort of our local Paiute tribe to establish access for Native Americans to attend public school in the State of California.

In 2015 we remodeled the Kitchen and constructed a new heating and air conditioning system for the Gymnasium building. Classroom carpeting and painting continues. In 2016 new furniture was purchased for all middle and high school classrooms. Visitors frequently comment on how clean, well kept and attractive our facilities are.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/01/2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/01/2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	18	20	18	22	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	25	22	22	19	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	85	79	92.94	20.25
Male	48	46	95.83	23.91
Female	37	33	89.19	15.15
American Indian or Alaska Native	38	35	92.11	8.57
Hispanic or Latino	19	19	100	15.79
White	22	21	95.45	38.1
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70	64	91.43	15.63
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	85	78	91.76	21.79
Male	48	46	95.83	28.26
Female	37	32	86.49	12.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	38	35	92.11	11.43
Hispanic or Latino	19	18	94.74	22.22
White	22	21	95.45	33.33
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70	63	90	15.87
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	28	--	23	26	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	21.4	42.9	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents are encouraged to participate in their child's educational program. There are a variety of opportunities for becoming part of the school community. Many parents volunteer to help in the classroom. Our District Advisory Council (DAC) is active, and provides opportunity to be part of the school improvement process. Each fall and spring, we conduct Student Led Conferences and parent participation is strong. Back-to-School Night when school begins, Open House in the spring and Community Meetings also provide opportunities for parents to learn about their child's school. .

The Native American community is involved with the school through the Indian Education Center and Title VII program. Staff and the tribe work collaboratively to meet the needs of our Native American students. Additional opportunities to communicate are offered by our District-Tribe Collaborative Meetings.

For more information on how to become involved, contact Ms. Sunny Meza at 760/938-2222.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	6.3	2.8	1.4	12.1	4.7	3.3	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The School Safety Plan is reviewed and updated yearly. Staff is provided a copy and training each year. The plan covers medical emergencies, natural disasters, bomb threats, active shooter response, earthquakes, fire, and chemical spills/accidents. The plan is complete, and coordinated with local emergency personnel and agencies. Teachers have first aid and emergency backpacks and buckets in their classrooms. The District requires school visitors to check in at the main office and wear identification badges. The campus is fully fenced preventing strangers from easily accessing student areas during school sessions. The District has and enforces all current State policy in regard to student safety issues. The Emergency Preparedness Plan was last reviewed by faculty in September, 2017 and approved by the Board on September 5, 2017.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 1	Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	8	2			12	1			11	2		
1	15	1			14	1			12	1		
2	17	1			15	1			14	1		
3	18	1			20	1			15	1		
4	19	2			17	2			16	2		
5	15	2			18	2			17	2		
6	20	4			13	4			15	5		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.5	N/A
Psychologist	0.1	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.6	N/A
Resource Specialist	.6	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$19,068.38	\$3,187.73	\$15,880.64	\$63,728.30
District	N/A	N/A	\$39,804.49	\$65,811
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-60.1	3.5
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$62,381
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	141.6	2.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Over 80% of our funds are spent on staff salaries. The District supports full class size reduction, and also provides instructional assistants, a music specialist, and a library program. Computers are available with internet access in every classroom and document cameras and projectors are installed as well. We have a 1:1 Chromebook program for all students K-12. We maintain an after school care program for working parents. We fund an agreement with our educational partners, EL Education, and we provide discretionary classroom budgets for every teacher annually. Online learning programs, including odysseyware and online textbooks, annual purchases of instructional materials aligned to standards, Renaissance Learning subscriptions, and benchmark data services are all examples of the many supports we provide for instruction and support of students.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$38,738	\$41,164
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$65,246	\$61,818
Highest Teacher Salary	\$82,978	\$84,567
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$86,718	\$96,125
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$103,336
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$101,955
Superintendent Salary	\$94,595	\$126,855
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	26%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	3%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

EL Education and implementation of the Common Core State Standards are the common themes to staff development activities. Annual review of student achievement data drives our goals and strategies, and professional development is designed to support those. Annually there is a full review of external factors. In 2010-11 analysis of factors such as declining enrollment and economic uncertainty resulted in a full staff consensus to pursue EL Education as our transformative model of education. Teachers have several paid noninstructional days during the school year that are used for full staff workshops. We also contract with a school designer who provides mentoring and specialized, just-in-time workshops which teachers attend using substitute release time. Teachers are also sent to institutes, conferences and site visits to further our knowledge of expeditionary learning. Two countywide professional development days each year are provided by the County and keep the staff in touch with topics such as Common Core, new State Assessments, and Positive Behavioral Support. Teachers who return from conferences or training share their information with appropriate staff during staff meetings. All staff meetings are designed to provide professional development. Teachers are encouraged and supported in their efforts to pursue advanced degrees and specialized credentials. Our Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), tied to our Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC) accreditation, focuses our teacher training on student achievement through assessment, quality instructional methodology, and meeting the needs of a diverse student population. Each Monday is an early release day to provide time for staff to engage in student achievement data discussion and collaboration.

Teachers are asked to provide evidence of implementation in support of our workplan. Student Led Conference Portfolios for each student, including achievement data, are available for review by administration and use at team meetings, and walk through observations are targeted to specific areas of focus that demonstrate implementation of learned strategies.